

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 45534
SJTS, Inc. dba Shop N Go
RN104805593
Docket No. 2012-2420-PST-E

Order Type:

1660 Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A

Media:

PST

Small Business:

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Shop N Go, 530 North Main Street, Lone Star, Morris County

Type of Operation:

Convenience store with retail sales of gasoline

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: May 10, 2013

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$7,942

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$1,588

Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay: \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$194

Total Due to General Revenue: \$6,160

Payment Plan: 35 payments of \$176 each

SEP Conditional Offset: \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - Unclassified

Site/RN - Unclassified

Major Source: No

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2011

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 45534
SJTS, Inc. dba Shop N Go
RN104805593
Docket No. 2012-2420-PST-E

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A

Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: June 25, 2012

Date(s) of NOE(s): October 30, 2012

Violation Information

1. Failed to provide corrosion protection for the underground storage tank ("UST") system [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49(a)(1) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(d)].
2. Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring) [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1)].
3. Failed to provide proper release detection for the piping associated with the USTs. Specifically, Respondent did not conduct the annual piping tightness test [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(2) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(a)].
4. Failed to maintain all UST records and make them immediately available upon request by agency personnel [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10(b)].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

Respondent has undertaken the following corrective action:

- a. Successfully conducted the annual piping tightness test on June 27, 2012; and
- b. Installed corrosion protection for the UST system on August 23, 2012.

Technical Requirements:

The Order will require Respondent to:

- a. Immediately, begin maintaining all UST records and ensure they are made immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel;
- b. Within 30 days, implement a release detection method for all USTs at the Facility; and

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 45534
SJTS, Inc. dba Shop N Go
RN104805593
Docket No. 2012-2420-PST-E

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A
Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A
SOAH Referral Date: N/A
Hearing Date(s): N/A
Settlement Date: N/A

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A
TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Michael Meyer, Enforcement Division,
Enforcement Team 6, MC 128, (512) 239-4492; Debra Barber, Enforcement Division,
MC 219, (512) 239-0412
TCEQ SEP Coordinator: N/A
Respondent: Jawed V. Shaikh, President, SJTS, Inc., 6507 Royal Cedar Drive, Dallas,
Texas 75236
Respondent's Attorney: N/A



Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)

PCW Revision August 3, 2011

TCEQ

DATES Assigned 12-Nov-2012
PCW 5-Feb-2013 Screening 14-Nov-2012 EPA Due

RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION

Respondent SJTS, Inc. dba Shop N Go
Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN104805593
Facility/Site Region 5-Tyler Major/Minor Source Minor

CASE INFORMATION

Enf./Case ID No. 45534 No. of Violations 3
Docket No. 2012-2420-PST-E Order Type 1660
Media Program(s) Petroleum Storage Tank Government/Non-Profit No
Multi-Media EC's Team Michael Meyer
Enforcement Team 6
Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum \$0 Maximum \$25,000

Penalty Calculation Section

TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) Subtotal 1 \$8,750

ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1

Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.

Compliance History 0.0% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 \$0

Notes No adjustment for compliance history.

Culpability No 0.0% Enhancement Subtotal 4 \$0

Notes The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.

Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments Subtotal 5 \$937

Economic Benefit 0.0% Enhancement* Subtotal 6 \$0

Total EB Amounts \$299
Approx. Cost of Compliance \$8,118
*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount

SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 Final Subtotal \$7,813

OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE 1.7% Adjustment \$129

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage.

Notes Enhancement to capture the avoided cost of compliance associated with violation no. 2.

Final Penalty Amount \$7,942

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT Final Assessed Penalty \$7,942

DEFERRAL 20.0% Reduction Adjustment -\$1,588

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Notes Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

PAYABLE PENALTY \$6,354

Screening Date 14-Nov-2012

Docket No. 2012-2420-PST-E

PCW

Respondent SJTS, Inc. dba Shop N Go

Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)

Case ID No. 45534

PCW Revision August 3, 2011

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN104805593

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Michael Meyer

Compliance History Worksheet>> **Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)**

Component	Number of...	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (<i>number of NOVs meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
Orders	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (<i>number of orders meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (<i>number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (<i>number of counts</i>)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (<i>number of events</i>)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which notices were submitted</i>)	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which violations were disclosed</i>)	0	0%
Please Enter Yes or No			
Other	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2) 0%>> **Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)**

No

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3) 0%>> **Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)**

Unclassified

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7) 0%>> **Compliance History Summary**Compliance
History
Notes

No adjustment for compliance history.

Total Compliance History Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) 0%>> **Final Compliance History Adjustment****Final Adjustment Percentage *capped at 100%** 0%

Screening Date 14-Nov-2012
Respondent SJTS, Inc. dba Shop N Go
Case ID No. 45534
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN104805593
Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank
Enf. Coordinator Michael Meyer
Violation Number 1

Docket No. 2012-2420-PST-E

PCW

Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)

PCW Revision August 3, 2011

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.49(a)(1) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(d)

Violation Description Failed to provide corrosion protection for the underground storage tank ("UST") system.

Base Penalty \$25,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR	Release	Harm			Percent
		Major	Moderate	Minor	
	Actual				15.0%
	Potential	x			

>> Programmatic Matrix

Matrix Notes	Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	Percent
					0.0%
Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.					
Adjustment					\$21,250

\$3,750

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1 59 Number of violation days

mark only one with an x	daily	
	weekly	
	monthly	
	quarterly	x
	semiannual	
	annual	
	single event	

Violation Base Penalty \$3,750

One quarterly event is recommended from the June 25, 2012 investigation date to the August 23, 2012 compliance date.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

25.0% Reduction		\$937
Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer		
Extraordinary		
Ordinary	x	
N/A	(mark with x)	
Notes The Respondent achieved compliance on August 23, 2012, prior to the Notice of Enforcement dated October 30, 2012.		

Violation Subtotal \$2,813

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$68 Violation Final Penalty Total \$2,859

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$2,859

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent SJTS, Inc. dba Shop N Go
Case ID No. 45534
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN104805593
Media Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No. 1

Percent Interest 5.0
Years of Depreciation 15

Item Cost **Date Required** **Final Date** **Yrs** **Interest Saved** **Onetime Costs** **EB Amount**
Item Description No commas or \$

Delayed Costs

Equipment	\$6,000	25-Jun-2012	23-Aug-2012	0.16	\$3	\$65	\$68
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost to install a corrosion protection system for all components of the UST system and test the system. The Date Required is the investigation date and the Final Date is the date of compliance.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$6,000

TOTAL \$68

Screening Date 14-Nov-2012
Respondent SJTS, Inc. dba Shop N Go
Case ID No. 45534

Docket No. 2012-2420-PST-E

PCW

Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)

PCW Revision August 3, 2011

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN104805593
Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank
Enf. Coordinator Michael Meyer

Violation Number 2

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50 (b)(1)(A) and (b)(2), and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(a) and (c)(1)

Violation Description

Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring). Also, failed to provide proper release detection for the piping associated with the USTs. Specifically, the Respondent did not conduct the annual piping tightness test.

Base Penalty \$25,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR	Release	Harm			Percent
		Major	Moderate	Minor	
	Actual				15.0%
	Potential	x			

>> Programmatic Matrix

	Falsification	Harm			Percent
		Major	Moderate	Minor	
					0.0%

Matrix Notes

Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment \$21,250

\$3,750

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1 15 Number of violation days

mark only one with an x	daily	
	weekly	
	monthly	x
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
	single event	

Violation Base Penalty \$3,750

One monthly event is recommended from the October 30, 2012 record review to the November 14, 2012 screening date.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

0.0% Reduction

\$0

Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer

Extraordinary		
Ordinary		
N/A	x	(mark with x)

Notes

The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.

Violation Subtotal \$3,750

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$205

Violation Final Penalty Total \$3,812

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$3,812

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent SJTS, Inc. dba Shop N Go
Case ID No. 45534
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN104805593
Media Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No. 2

Percent Interest 5.0
Years of Depreciation 15

Item Cost **Date Required** **Final Date** **Yrs** **Interest Saved** **Onetime Costs** **EB Amount**
Item Description No commas or \$

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$1,500	25-Jun-2012	30-Jun-2013	1.01	\$76	n/a	\$76

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost to monitor the USTs for releases. The Date Required is the investigation date, and the Final Date is the estimated date of compliance.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$118	25-Jun-2011	27-Jun-2012	1.93	\$11	\$118	\$129
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Estimated avoided cost to conduct the annual piping tightness test. The Date Required is one year prior to the investigation date and the Final Date is the date of compliance.

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$1,618

TOTAL \$205

Screening Date 14-Nov-2012

Docket No. 2012-2420-PST-E

PCW

Respondent SJTS, Inc. dba Shop N Go

Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)

Case ID No. 45534

PCW Revision August 3, 2011

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN104805593

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Michael Meyer

Violation Number 3

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code 334.10(b)

Violation Description Failed to maintain all UST records and make them immediately available upon request by agency personnel.

Base Penalty \$25,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR

Release	Harm		
	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			
Potential			

Percent 0.0%

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor
	x		

Percent 5.0%

Matrix Notes

100% of the rule requirement was not met.

Adjustment \$23,750

\$1,250

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1

15 Number of violation days

mark only one with an x	daily	
	weekly	
	monthly	
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
	single event	x

Violation Base Penalty \$1,250

One single event is recommended.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

0.0% Reduction

\$0

Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer

Extraordinary		
Ordinary		
N/A	x	(mark with x)

Notes

The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.

Violation Subtotal \$1,250

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$25

Violation Final Penalty Total \$1,271

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$1,271

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent SJTS, Inc. dba Shop N Go
Case ID No. 45534
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN104805593
Media Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No. 3

Percent Interest 5.0
Years of Depreciation 15

Item Cost **Date Required** **Final Date** **Yrs** **Interest Saved** **Onetime Costs** **EB Amount**
Item Description No commas or \$

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$500	25-Jun-2012	30-Jun-2013	1.01	\$25	n/a	\$25
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost to maintain UST records. The Date Required is the date of the investigation and the Final Date is the estimated date of compliance.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$500

TOTAL \$25



Compliance History Report

PENDING Compliance History Report for CN603509589, RN104805593, Rating Year 2012 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2007, through August 31, 2012.

Customer, Respondent, or Owner/Operator: CN603509589, SJTS, Inc.

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Rating: -----

Regulated Entity: RN104805593, SHOP N GO

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Rating: -----

Complexity Points: 2

Repeat Violator: NO

CH Group: 14 - Other

Location: 530 N MAIN ST LONE STAR, TX 75668-2220, MORRIS COUNTY

TCEQ Region: REGION 05 - TYLER

ID Number(s):

PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION REGISTRATION
11547

Compliance History Period: September 01, 2007 to August 31, 2012

Rating Year: 2012

Rating Date: 09/01/2012

Date Compliance History Report Prepared: November 14, 2012

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Component Period Selected: November 14, 2007 to November 14, 2012

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding This Compliance History.

Name: Michael Meyer

Phone: (512) 239-4492

Site and Owner/Operator History:

- 1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? YES
- 2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period? YES
- 3) If YES for #2, who is the current owner/operator? SJTS, Inc OWNER OPERATOR since 9/1/2008
- 4) If YES for #2, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)?
Smith & Coffman, Ltd., OWNER OPERATOR, 2/6/2008 to 9/1/2008
Smith & Coffman, Ltd., OWNER, 1/9/2001 to 9/1/2008
BONNETTE, LAVER, OPERATOR, 2/17/2007 to 2/6/2008
- 5) If YES, when did the change(s) in owner or operator occur? 9/1/2008

Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

A. Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees:

N/A

B. Criminal convictions:

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events:

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

N/A

E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

N/A

F. Environmental audits:

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs):

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program:

N/A

J. Early compliance:

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas:

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



**IN THE MATTER OF AN
ENFORCEMENT ACTION
CONCERNING
SJTS, INC. DBA SHOP N GO
RN104805593**

**§ BEFORE THE
§
§ TEXAS COMMISSION ON
§
§ ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2012-2420-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On _____, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding SJTS, Inc. dba Shop N Go ("Respondent") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent together stipulate that:

1. The Respondent owns and operates a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline at 530 North Main Street in Lone Star, Morris County, Texas (the "Facility").
2. The Respondent's two underground storage tanks ("USTs") are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission.
3. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about November 4, 2012.
5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Seven Thousand Nine Hundred Forty-Two Dollars (\$7,942) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid One Hundred Ninety-Four Dollars (\$194) of the administrative penalty and One Thousand Five Hundred Eighty-Eight

Dollars (\$1,588) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

The remaining amount of Six Thousand One Hundred Sixty Dollars (\$6,160) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 35 monthly payments of One Hundred Seventy-Six Dollars (\$176) each. The next monthly payment shall each be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of the Respondent to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by the Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
8. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent has undertaken the following corrective action:
 - a. Successfully conducted the annual piping tightness test on June 27, 2012; and
 - b. Installed corrosion protection for the UST system on August 23, 2012.
10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

1. Failed to provide corrosion protection for the UST system, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49(a)(1) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(d), as documented during an investigation conducted on June 25, 2012 and a record review conducted on October 30, 2012.
2. Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring), in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1), as documented during an investigation conducted on June 25, 2012 and a record review conducted on October 30, 2012.
3. Failed to provide proper release detection for the piping associated with the USTs, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(2) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(a), as documented during an investigation conducted on June 25, 2012 and a record review conducted on October 30, 2012. Specifically, the Respondent did not conduct the annual piping tightness test.
4. Failed to maintain all UST records and make them immediately available upon request by agency personnel, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on June 25, 2012 and a record review conducted on October 30, 2012.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: SJTS, Inc. dba Shop N Go, Docket No. 2012-2420-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section
Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13088
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Immediately upon the effective date of this Agreed Order, begin maintaining all UST records and ensure they are made immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10;
 - b. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, implement a release detection method for all USTs at the Facility, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50; and
 - c. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision Nos. 2.a. and 2.b. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Waste Section Manager
Tyler Regional Office
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
2916 Teague Drive
Tyler, Texas 75701-3734

3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
7. This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.
8. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission

Perm/Dover
For the Executive Director

5/20/13
Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

Jawed V. Shaikh
Signature

3/5/13
Date

JAWED V. SHAIKH
Name (Printed or typed)
Authorized Representative of
SJTS, Inc. dba Shop N Go

... / president
Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.